IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE SIDE

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.929 OF 2005

Anilkumar Singh Udneshwar Singh .. Appellant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent.

Mr.Shreeram Shirsat for appellant.

Mr.A.R.Patil, Addl.P.P. for respondent.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &

S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, JJ.

DATE : 11th OCTOBER, 2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel Mr.Shreeram Shirsat appearing for appellant. The learned Counsel pointed out that the appellant-original accused has filed Criminal Appeal No.1179 of 2004, which has already been admitted by this Court.

2. It appears that the appellant again through jail

had forwarded another Memo of Appeal being Criminal Appeal No.929 of 2005, which also came to be admitted by this Court. Now, the learned Counsel, appearing for the appellant after verifying the grounds raised in Criminal Appeal No.929 of 2005, submits that there are no substantial grounds raised in the said Appeal as are raised in Criminal Appeal No.1179 of 2004.

3. For the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the Criminal Appeal No.929 of 2005, is superfluous, hence the same stands dismissed.

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)